

Panaji, 6th June, 1974 (Jyaishta 16, 1896)

SERIES II No. 10

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

General Administration Department

Order

No. 4-13-67-GAD

The Montepio do Estado da India was discontinued with effect from 1-4-1964 and a Liquidator was appointed to finalise the liquidation. By subsequent orders Government decided to refund to the members of the Montepio the contribution paid by them. The staff of the Montepio have been either paid compensation on the basis of the service rendered, or absorbed in other offices. The Government has now decided to wind up the Montepio without further delay and has fixed three months from the date of the order for this process. In terms of article 20 of the regulation of the Montepio do Estado da India, a meeting of the members of the same Montepio was held in the Swami Vivekananda Hall on 22-7-1973. The Liquidator expressed his view on the deliberation taken by the said members in the said meeting on 22-7-1973.

The Government in consultation with the Finance Department is pleased to decide as follows:—

(1) The ex-employee of the River Navigation Department, Shri Marcal Barreto, who was serving as Secretary to the Montepio do Estado da India, should be granted a lumpsum payment of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), in partial modification of the order of even number dated 29-7-1968. Shri Marcal Barreto has agreed in the said meeting dated 22-7-1973 to receive this lump-sum payment of Rs. 10,000/-. The amounts of pension drawn by him after 22-7-73 will be set off against the lumpsum of Rs. 10,000/-.

(2) The total amount to be recovered from the loanes by the Montepio upto this date is of the order of Rs. 68,872-44 (Rupees sixty eight thousand eight hundred and seventy two and paise forty four only), which include the Principal plus the amount of cost (preparos) advanced by the Montepio. Proceedings are pending in the Court of Law to recover this amount from the loanes. As it will take time to conclude these proceedings, this amount should be donated to the Provedoria de Assistencia Publica for distribution among the poor and needy persons on the condition that the Provedoria takes upon itself the responsibility to carry on the proceedings in the Court of Law and to recover the amount and to pay the gift tax arising out of the donation, to the Income Tax Office. The Director of Provedoria has formally accepted this responsibility.

(3) At present the Montepio is having a balance of Rs. 240000/- (Rupees two lakhs and forty thousand only) in fixed deposits, Rs. 25,018-14 (Rupees twenty five thousand and eighteen and paise fourteen only) in savings account and Rs. 1,800-94 (Rupees one thousand eight hundred and paise ninety four only) in hand totalling Rs. 2,66,819-08 (Rupees two lakhs sixty six thousand eight hundred and nineteen and paise eight only) plus the interest that may accrue from the said amount in the bank. This total amount of Rs. 2,66,819-08 (Rupees two lakhs sixty six thousand eight hundred and nineteen and eight paise only) plus interest should be distributed among the members of the Montepio after paying the staff working in the office till the month of August, 1974.

(4) The unpaid bills after the closure of office and the Institute should be handed over to the Director of Accounts Panaji and the corresponding amount of their bills deposited in the State Bank to be paid to the parties concerned within a period of three years from the date of handing over the bills, after that period no bill shall be paid on the amount reverted to Government treasury.

(5) An inventory of the assets and records of the Montepio should be made within a period of three months from the date of this order and the assets auctioned by the Liquidator within the same period of three months and thereafter the revenue from the auction should be included in the amount to be handed over to the Directorate of Accounts on account of unpaid bills. The records except for the records which will be necessary in the Directorate of Accounts for entertaining the claimours of unpaid bills should be sent to Directorate of Archives which shall acknowledge its receipt to the General Administration Department.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandare, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 1st June, 1974.

### Secretariat Administration Department

Order

No. SAD/PER/528

Shri B. M. Masurkar, Law Secretary, is granted Earned leave for 27 days with effect from 26th April, 1974 to 22nd May, 1974.

Certified that Shri B. M. Masurkar would have continued to officiate in the same post but for his proceeding on leave.

This issues in partial modification of the Department order of even number dated 22nd April, 1974.

The admissibility of leave has been certified by the Directorate of Accounts, Panaji vide letter Mr. DA/PAVII/II/500 dated 7th May, 1974.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandare, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 29th May, 1974.

### Special Department

Order

No. 4-18-74-SPL

Consequent on his selection for promotion to the Indian Police Service of the Union Territories Cadre, the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to relieve Shri A.

D'Souza, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Daman w.e.f. the afternoon of the 31st May, 1974 with instructions to report for duty to the Chief Secretary, Mizoram, Aizal for posting as Superintendent of Police, after availing of the admissible joining time.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandare, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 29th May, 1974.

## Industries and Power Department

### Notification

No. 5-31-72-IPD

Whereas one Shri Liladhar Valabji from Diu, has been granted a mining lease under title of concession No. 18 dated 29-4-1960 for iron ore over an area of 92.5140 Ha situated at Cansarpale village of Bicholim Taluka.

And whereas consequent to the death of said Shri Liladhar Valabji S/Sri Jaswantlal Liladhar and Shantilal Liladhar have been declared as legal heirs of the above mining lease.

And whereas the said S/Shri Jaswantlal Liladhar and Shantilal Liladhar have failed to conduct the mining operations in the area leased to late Shri Liladhar Valabji and now administered by them and thus have committed breach of the provision of clause (f) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

And whereas notices bearing No. DI/Mines/72/739 and No. DI/Mines/72/740 dated 6-3-1973 have been served on said S/Shri Jaswantlal Liladhar and Shantilal Liladhar, respectively, requiring them to remedy the said breach within 60 days from the date of receipt of the notices.

And whereas the said S/Shri Jaswantlal Liladhar and Shantilal Liladhar have failed to comply with the notices.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (5) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby determines the said mining leases granted to late Shri Liladhar Valabji and now administered by S/Shri Jaswantlal Liladhar and Shantilal Liladhar under title of concession No. 18, dated 29-4-1960 with immediate effect without prejudice to any other proceedings that may be taken against them.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 27th May, 1974.

### Corrigendum

No. 5-22-70/IPD

In the Government Notification of even number dated 27-4-74 the words «the Technical Assistant» should be read as «the Senior Technical Assistant».

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 24th May, 1974.

## Labour and Information Department

### Order

No. 1/397/74-LAB/634

Whereas the Management of M/s. Kesarval Beverages Limited, Bethora, Ponda, Goa, and the workmen represented

by National Union of General Employees (Goa), Sambhaji, have entered into an agreement on 3rd May, 1974 to refer the Industrial Dispute existing between them to an arbitrator under sub-section (3) of Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947).

And whereas a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been received by the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu on 15th May, 1974.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers under sub-section (3) of the said Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby orders the publication of the said arbitration agreement in the Official Gazette as hereunder:

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.

### FORM C

(See Rule 7)

### Agreement

(Under S.10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)  
Between

Name of Parties representing employers: Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa, Chief Executive, Kesarval Beverages Limited, Bethora (Ponda).

Representing workmen: Mr. Gajanan Patil, Vice-President National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Hon. Justice Shri M. G. Chitale, 4, Land's End House, Jamnadas Mehata Road, Bombay 400006.

i) Specific matters in dispute: Whether the demands, specified in the Annexure 'A' submitted by the National Union of General Employees (Goa), vide its letter dated 29th October, 1973, are reasonable and justified? If so, what relief the workers are entitled to?

Representing the employers:

ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved: Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa, Chief Executive, Kesarval Beverages Limited, Bethora (Ponda).

Representing the employees:

Mr. Gajanan Patil, Vice-President, National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.

iii) Name of the Union representing the workmen in question: National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.

iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected: 76.

v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute: 76.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within the period of 90 days from the date the order of reference is published in the Official Gazette or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforemen-

tioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate a fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties:

Representing employers:

Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa,  
Chief Executive, Kesarval  
Beverages Limited, Be-  
thora (Ponda).

I consent:

Representing workmen:

(M. G. CHITALE, Arbitrator.

Mr. Gajanan Patil, Vice-Pres-  
ident, National Union of  
General Employees (Goa),  
Vasco da Gama.

Witnesses:

1. F. X. D'Cruz.

2. R. Fernandes.

#### ANNEXURE A

##### 1. Reclassification of the categories of the workmen:

The present classification/categories are not in accordance with the work being carried out by the workmen and, therefore, we demand that each workman be classified taking into consideration of the nature of work he does and wages, allowances and other benefits including conditions of service he enjoys in the factory.

##### 2. Letter of appointment and permanency of workmen:

We demand that all the workmen who have put in more than 90 days of service in the Company be made permanent and issued letters of permanency indicating therein the date of joining the service.

##### 3. Revision of Pay Scales:

The existing pay scale is not fair and acceptable to us. The Management has fixed the scale arbitrarily by splitting the daily wages on your own convenience into D. A., V. D. A. etc. Therefore, we demand that all workmen be placed on a new scale of pay from the date they are made permanent (90 days from the date of their appointment) and pay their arrears accordingly.

##### 4. Dearness Allowance:

We demand that the workmen should be paid Dearness Allowance as per the following formula and be made applicable to the workmen of your establishment after fixing them up in their respective new pay scales:—

To those who draw upto Rs. 139-00—Rs. 100-00 per month.

To those who draw Rs. 140-00 and above, but below Rs. 200-00—Rs. 150-00 per month.

All those who draw Rs. 200-00 and above—Rs. 175 per month.

##### 5. Variable Dearness Allowance:

The above Dearness Allowance should be linked with the All India Working Class Consumers Price Index of the month and year on which month they were made permanent as per Clause No. 2 (Demand for permanency) and for every additional increase of points in the Price Index, they may be paid Re. 1-00 as V. D. A.

##### 6. Uniforms and Washing Allowance:

The workmen employed in the factory irrespective of their work-place and nature of work must be supplied with three pairs of uniforms free of cost every year and Rs. 10.00 as washing allowance per month.

##### 7. Bonus:

We demand that the Management should pay 20% Bonus for the past years from the date of appointment and also for the year 1972-73.

##### 8. Paid Holidays:

We demand that the Management should declare the following 12 holidays as paid (closed) holidays:—

1. Republic Day.
2. Independence Day.

3. Gandhi Jayanti.

4. 1st January.

5. Good Friday.

6. Ganesh Chaturthi.

7. Diwali.

8. Goa Liberation Day.

9. Christmas.

10. Ramzan Idd.

11. Bakri Idd and

12. 1st May (Worker's Day).

##### 9. Leave:

We demand that all permanent workmen should be granted the following leave facilities:—

Privilege leave—30 days.

Sick Leave—10 days.

Casual Leave—10 days.

##### 10. Canteen:

We demand that a subsidised Canteen be immediately opened for the workmen under the supervision of the Management, as the factory is situated at the remote corner in a far-off place from the town.

##### 11. Overtime:

We demand extra overtime of one hour for those who are on continuous duty of eight hours without break and additional one hour if they are asked to do another four hours, that means in all twelve hours continuously. We also demand that for those who are on continuous shift working as mentioned above, should be given tea at the Company's cost two times during eight hours.

Order

No. 1/396/74-LAB/633

Whereas the Management of M/s. McDowell and Company Limited Bethora, (Ponda) and the workmen represented by National Union of General Employees (Goa), Sambhaji, have entered into an agreement on 3rd May, 1974 to refer the Industrial Dispute existing between them to an arbitrator under sub-section (3) of Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947).

And whereas a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been received by the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu on 15th May, 1974.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers under sub-section (3) of the said Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby orders the publication of the said arbitration agreement in the Official Gazette as hereunder:

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa,  
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.

FORM C

(See Rule 7)

Agreement

(Under S.10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

Between

Name of Parties representing Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa,  
employers: Chief Executive, McDowell  
& Company Limited, Be-  
thora (Ponda).

Representing workmen: Mr. Gajanan Patil, Vice-  
President National Union  
of General Employees  
(Goa), Vasco da Gama.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Hon. Justice Shri M. G. Chitale, 4, Land's End House, Jamnadas Mehata Road, Bombay 400006.

- i) Specific matters in dispute: Whether the demands, specified in the Annexure 'A' submitted by the National Union of General Employees (Goa), vide its letter dated 29th October, 1973, are reasonable and justified? If so, what relief the workers are entitled to?
- Whether the action of the Management in dismissing Shri Olavo Pinto from his services with effect from 7th March, 1974, is legal and justified? If not, to what relief Shri Olavo Pinto is entitled?
- Representing the employers:
- ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:
- Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa, Chief Executive, McDowell & Company Limited, Bethora (Ponda).
- Representing the workmen:
- Shri Gajanan Patil, Vice-President, National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.
- iii) Name of the Union representing the workmen in question:
- National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.
- iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected:
- Approximately 10.
- v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:
- Approximately 10.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within the period of 90 days from the date the order of reference is published in the Official Gazette or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate a fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties

Representing employers:

Mr. R. M. Bruto da Costa, Chief Executive, McDowell & Company Limited, Bethora (Ponda).

I consent:

Representing workmen:

(M. G. CHITALE), Arbitrator. Mr. Gajanan Patil, Vice-President, National Union of General Employees (Goa), Vasco da Gama.

Witnesses:

1. F. X. D'Cruz.

2. R. Fernandes.

#### ANNEXURE A

##### 1. Reclassification of the categories of the workmen:

The present classification/categories are not in accordance with the work being carried out by the workmen and, therefore, we demand that each workman be classified taking into consideration of the nature of work he does and wages, allowances and other benefits including conditions of service he enjoys in the factory.

##### 2. Letter of appointment and permanency of workmen:

We demand that all the workmen who have put in more than 90 days of service in the Company be made permanent and issued letters of permanency indicating therein the date of joining the service.

##### 3. Revision of Pay Scales:

The existing pay scale is not fair and acceptable to us. The Management has fixed the scale arbitrarily by splitting the daily wages on your own convenience into D. A., V. D. A. etc. Therefore, we demand that all workmen be placed on a new scale of pay from the date they are made permanent (90 days from the date of their appointment) and pay their arrears accordingly.

##### 4. Dearness Allowance:

We demand that the workmen should be paid Dearness Allowance as per the following formula and be made applicable to the workmen of your establishment after fixing them up in their respective new pay scales: —

To those who draw upto Rs. 139-00 — Rs. 100-00 per month.

To those who draw Rs. 140-00 and above, but below Rs. 200-00 — Rs. 150-00 per month.

All those who draw Rs. 200-00 and above — Rs. 175 per month.

##### 5. Variable Dearness Allowance:

The above Dearness Allowance should be linked with the All India Working Class Consumers Price Index of the month and year on which they were made permanent as per Clause No. 2 (Demand for permanency) and for every additional increase of points in the Price Index, they may be paid Re. 1-00 as V. D. A.

##### 6. Uniforms and Washing Allowance:

The workmen employed in the factory irrespective of their work-place and nature of work must be supplied with three pairs of uniforms free of cost every year and Rs. 10.00 as washing allowance per month.

##### 7. Bonus:

We demand that the Management should pay 20% Bonus for the past years from the date of appointment and also for the year 1972-73.

##### 8. Paid Holidays:

We demand that the Management should declare the following 12 holidays as paid (closed) holidays: —

1. Republic Day.
2. Independence Day.
3. Gandhi Jayanti.
4. 1st January.
5. Good Friday.
6. Ganesh Chaturthi.
7. Diwali.
8. Goa Liberation Day.
9. Christmas.
10. Ramzan Idd.
11. Bakri Idd and
12. 1st May (Worker's Day).

##### 9. Leave:

We demand that all permanent workmen should be granted the following leave facilities: —

Privilege leave — 30 days.

Sick Leave — 10 days.

Casual Leave — 10 days.

##### 10. Canteen:

We demand that a subsidised Canteen be immediately opened for the workmen under the supervision of the Management, as the factory is situated at the remote corner in a far-off place from the town.

##### 11. Overtime:

We demand extra overtime of one hour for those who are on continuous duty of eight hours without break and additional one hour if they are asked to do another four hours, that means in all twelve hours continuously. We also demand that for those who are on continuous shift working as mentioned above, should be given tea at the Company's cost two times during eight hours.

Order

No. 1/352/74/LAB/231

The following Award given by Shri N. D. Bodade, Deputy Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board, Mormugao, on an Industrial Dispute between M/s. Mormugao Motor Transport Co-op. Society, Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama, and the workman employed by them is hereby published as required vide provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947):—

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 4th June, 1974.

**Arbitration Award under Section 10(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in respect of Dispute between the Management of the Marmagao Motor Transport Co-operative Society Ltd., and their workman**

Present:

Shri N. D. Bodade, Regional Labour Commissioner (C), on deputation to Mormugao Dock Labour Board, Mormugao Harbour, as Deputy Chairman.

Parties:

- a) Representing the Management of Marmagao Motor Transport Co-operative Society Ltd.
1. Shri F. A. Rego, Chairman, and
  2. Shri A. J. Pereira, Secretary.
- b) Representing workman:
1. Shri S. V. Rao, General Secretary, National Union of General Employees (Goa).

**AWARD**

By an Arbitration Agreement published in the Extra-Ordinary Official Gazette, Government of Goa, Daman and Diu, vide Government Order No. 1/352/74-LAB/231 dated the 22nd February, 1974. Parties abovenamed referred one specific issue to my arbitration under Section 10(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Agreement inter-alia provides that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on them. The specific matter in dispute referred to my arbitration and decision is as follows:—

«Whether the Management of M/s. Marmagao Motor Transport Coop. Society Ltd., Neogi Mansion, Sambhaji (Goa) was justified in dismissing Shri G. P. Chodankar, conductor;

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?

The Union on behalf of the workman submitted the written statement vide their letter No. NUGE(G)MDLB/248/74 dated 20th March, 1974 under copy to the Management. The Management vide their letter No. MMT/MDLB/97/74 dated the 26th April, 1974 submitted their rejoinder on the subject. The Union on behalf of the workman contended inter-alia that the workman was dismissed in contravention of the principles of natural justice in as much as the said workman was dismissed without holding a proper enquiry and without giving him an opportunity to defend him in the so called enquiry. There was no charge sheet worth its name. Only on the basis of the so called the preliminary enquiry by the Managing Committee of the Society, the workman G. P. Chodankar, conductor, was dismissed. Copy of the enquiry proceedings were also not supplied to the workman as required under law. Judged by any standard, the dismissal of the workman is illegal and inoperative. The Management did not rebut the contention of the workman but made a bald statement that the workman was dismissed after giving a fair chance to admit his mistake.

The case was posted for hearing on 10th May, 1974, when both the parties were present. The Union reiterated its stand taken in its claim statement and contended that the dismissal was wrongful and illegal and hence he should be reinstated to his original post with back wages and continuity of service. The Management did not disprove the allegations made by the Union nor did they prove that the enquiry held by them was proper and the dismissal order passed thereon was legal/proper.

Based on the material placed before me by both the parties, I have no hesitation in coming to the conclusion that the

Employer did not hold a proper enquiry into the so called the charges levelled against G. P. Chodankar, conductor.

From the records, it is seen that the workman was dismissed for reporting late by 50 minutes to duty on 19-10-1972 which alleged to have resulted in the loss of one Trip to Bogmalo. The contention of the workman is that he was late by only 15 minutes on the relevant date and the trip to Bogmale on that date was not lost as alleged by the Management. This fact has not been denied by the Management. Another charge against the dismissed workman is that he was absenting from work vide *Annexure 'C'*, a notice served on the dismissed workman by the Management. It is pertinent to note that the said notice is silent about the dates from which the workman was absenting from work as alleged by the Management. It is the case of the workman that the Management prevented him for reporting to duty and then issued a notice regarding the alleged absence. I have no hesitation to accept the version of the workman as being true. Since the Management has not proved their case, the version of the Management is rejected as totally false. Admitting that the workman was late by 15 minutes, the punishment of dismissal for such a minor misconduct is shockingly disproportionate and as such it is liable to be set aside. The dismissal of the workman in contravention of principles of natural justice is wrongful and as such liable to be set aside. The Management did not choose to prove the charges of the alleged misconduct before me by adducing fresh evidence.

For the foregoing reasons, I pass the following Award:—

- 1) The 'dismissal order No. MMT/Staff/2/73 dated 4th January, 1972 of the Management of Marmagao Motor Transport Co-op. Society Ltd., Vasco da Gama, dismissing their conductor G. P. Chodankar is set aside as being in contravention of principles of natural justice;
- 2) G. P. Chodankar is reinstated back to his original post with full back wages and continuity of service.

Parties to bear their own cost.

Sd/-

N. D. BODADE

Regional Labour Commissioner (C)  
on deputation  
Deputy Chairman  
Mormugao Dock Labour Board  
Arbitrator.

Mormugao Harbour, 17th May, 1974.

**Revenue Department**

Notification

No. RD/LQN/112/74

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz for Slum clearance scheme at Vadem area 12,280.00 sq. mts.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act») that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector Goa South sub-Division Margao to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Deputy Collector Goa, South Sub-Division, Margao.
3. The Chairman, Goa, Daman and Diu Housing Board, Panaji.
4. The Director of Land Survey, Panaji.
6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector Goa, South Sub-Division, Margao for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Marmagao	Sambhaji Nagar	—	1147 (old)	Shri Resendo Pancracio de Araujo.	12,280.00
<b>Boundaries:</b>					
North, South, East and West by Comunidade of Vadem and proposed to be acquired by Goa, Daman and Diu Housing Board.					
Total .....					12,280.00

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.

#### Notification

No. RD/LQN/125/74

Whereas it appears to the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») is likely to be needed for public purpose viz for construction of Tourist Hostel.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act») that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector Goa, South Division, Margao to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Deputy Collector Goa, South Division, Margao.
3. The Director of Information and Tourism, Panaji.
4. The Director of Land Survey, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector Goa, South Division Margao-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Property Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Salcete	Margao	54	—	Engineer Rui Cruz near Gosalia building, Margao.	1200.00
Total .....					1200.00

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Collector of Goa.

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.

## Notification

No. RD/LQN/301/73

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/301/73 dated 27-12-73 published on page 402 of Series II, No. 42 of the Government Gazette, dated 17-1-74 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as «the said Act») that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Construction of Control Rooms at Sancoale.

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 1A of the

said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao, to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Dy. Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao till the award is made under Section 11.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Mormugao	Sancoale	1	1	Confraria de Santissimo e Jesus, Maria Jose de Sancoale	590.00
Boundaries:					
North: P. W. D. road Cortalim-Vasco.					
South: Church property.					
East: — do —					
West: Nala.					
Total .....					590.00

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.

## Notification

No. RD/LQN/287/73

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/287/73 dated 12-12-1973 published on page 254 of Series II, No. 39 of the Government Gazette, dated 27-12-1973 it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as «the said Act») that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Microwave Repeater Station at Verna.

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of

the said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Sr. No.	Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Salcete	Verna	4 (part)	205	Shri Joao Rock Santana Sebastiao Fernandes.	357.00
2	—do—	—do—	5 (part)	205	Shri Philipe Abranches.	501.00
3	—do—	—do—	1 (part)	207	Shri Pedro Feleciano Falcao.	146.37
4	—do—	—do—	2 (part)	207	Shri Jack Albert Paul Rattos (Minguel Rattos).	144.60
5	—do—	—do—	1 (part)	208	Comunidade of Verna.	5644.47
Total .....						6793.44

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 30th May, 1974.



## Notification

No. RD/LRC/47/71-74

In supersession of Government Notification No. RD/LRC/47/71-72 dated 12-4-1972 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act No. 9 of 1969), Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints the Officer mentioned in the schedule annexed hereto under column 2, to exercise the powers and discharge the duties and functions of the Revenue and Survey Officers mentioned under column 3 within the jurisdiction mentioned in column 4 of the schedule under the provisions of the Code, with immediate effect.

## SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Officer	Officer under the Code	Jurisdiction
1	3	3	4
1.	Shri P. S. Bhatnagar	Collector, Director of Settlement & Land Records.	Goa District Goa, Daman and Diu

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 31st May, 1974.

## Notification

No. RD/LQN/6/74

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/6/74 dated 5-3-1974, published on the pages 486-487 of Series II, No. 49 of the Official Gazette dated 8-3-1974, it was notified under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter called the «said Act») that the land specified in the schedule thereto (hereinafter called «the said land») was required for public purpose viz. Parking Zone at Margao.

And whereas, the Government is now of the opinion that an area of 101.30 sq. metres of the land believed to be belonging to Shri Constancio D'Cruz of Margao, in respect of which the Section 6 notification was issued is no longer required for the above public purpose.

Now, therefore the Government is pleased to declare under Sub-section (1) of Section 48 of the said Act that Government has withdrawn from acquisition of an area of 101.30 sq. metres from the said land and that the aforesaid Government notification No. RD/LQN/6/74 dated 5-3-1974 shall be deemed to be cancelled in so far as it related to the said area.

The persons who may be interested in the said area may lodge to the Dy. Collector, Goa South Division, Margao within a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette, claims under sub-section (2) of Section 48 of the said Act for the damages suffered by them in consequence of the notice or of any proceedings thereunder and for cost reasonably incurred by them in prosecution of the proceedings under the said Act relating to the said land.

3. A plan of the said area will be available for inspection in the office of the Dy. Collector, Goa South Division, Margao, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 3rd June, 1974.

## Office of the Collector and D. C. A.

## Order

No. COL/CAB/TR/71/121

A plot of the land admeasuring 435 sq. mts. owned by the Comunidade of Navelim of Tiswadi Taluka, was granted on permannet lease, for construction of house, to Shri Roberto Bras Afonso of Piedade, under Government Order dated 21-10-1957, and its provisional possession was taken by Shri Afonso on 19-2-1958.

The plot was not availed for the purpose for which it was granted and therefore on the recommendation of the Administrator of the Comunidades, Tiswadi, with prior hearing of the grantee Shri Afonso, the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu on 5-3-1974 ordered under § 1st of Art. 345 of the Code of Comunidades, that the land be reverted to the Comunidade of Navelim, with payment of the fine of Rs. 40/- considering that the plot in question was granted before the present Code of 1961.

The Order is published in the Official Gazette for public information.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Collector and D. C. A.

Panaji, 18th May, 1974.

## Local Self Government Department

## Order

No. LSG-280-SW-69

Read: Government Order No. LSG/280/SW/69(ii) dated 25-1-74.

The nominees of the Block Advisory Committee on the Functional Committee for the implementation of the Family and Child Welfare Project, Quepem Block, constituted vide above Government Order, shall be as follows:—

## Nominees of the Block Advisory Committee

- |                                                                           |        |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Shri Rafael Silvestre Fernandes, Sarpanch, V. P. Betul Nakeri, Quepem. | Member |
| 2. Shri Ramnath Kashinath Dessai, Sarpanch, Assolda Hodar, Quepem.        | Member |
| 3. Shri Dinanath P. Foi Dessai, Sarpanch Kaorem, Quepem.                  | Member |
| 4. Shri Balaji Y. Naik Karmali, Sarpanch Kakoda, Quepem.                  | Member |

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

F. A. Figueiredo, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 27th May, 1974.